

## ON MULTIPLIERS ON BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS

KYUNG HO KIM\*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduced the notion of multiplier of Boolean algebras and discuss related properties between multipliers and special mappings, like dual closures, homomorphisms on  $B$ . We introduce the notions of fixed set  $Fix_f(X)$  and normal ideal and obtain interconnection between multipliers and  $Fix_f(B)$ . Also, we introduce the special multiplier  $\alpha_p$  and study some properties. Finally, we show that if  $B$  is a Boolean algebra, then the set of all multipliers of  $B$  is also a Boolean algebra.

### 1. Introduction

Boolean algebras play an important role in many fields such as information theory, information retrieval, information access controls and cryptanalysis. In [4] a partial multiplier on a commutative semigroup  $(A, \cdot)$  has been introduced as a function  $F$  from a nonvoid subset  $D_F$  of  $A$  into  $A$  such that  $F(x) \cdot y = x \cdot F(y)$  for all  $x, y \in D_F$ . In this paper, we introduced the notion of multiplier of Boolean algebras and discuss related properties between multipliers and special mappings, like dual closures, homomorphisms on  $B$ . We introduce the notions of fixed set  $Fix_f(X)$  and normal ideal and obtain interconnection between multipliers and  $Fix_f(B)$ . Also, we introduce the special multiplier  $\alpha_p$  and study some properties. Finally, we show that if  $B$  is a Boolean algebra, then the set of all multipliers of  $B$  is also a Boolean algebra.

---

Received July 29, 2016; Accepted October 13, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06F35, 03G25, 08A30.

Key words and phrases: Boolean algebra, (simple) multiplier, isotone,  $Fix_f(X)$ , normal ideal.

## 2. Preliminaries

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $B$  be a nonempty set endowed with operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$ . By a *Boolean algebra*  $(B, \wedge, \vee, ', 0, 1)$ , we mean a set  $B$  satisfying the following conditions, for all  $x, y, z \in B$ ,

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $(B, \wedge, \vee, ', 0, 1)$  be a Boolean algebra. A binary relation  $\leq$  is defined by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x \wedge y = x$  and  $x \vee y = y$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $(B, \wedge, \vee, ', 0, 1)$  be a Boolean algebra. Define the binary relation  $\leq$  as the Definition 2.2. Then  $(B, \leq)$  is a poset and for any  $x, y \in B$ ,  $x \wedge y$  is the g.l.b. of  $\{x, y\}$  and  $x \vee y$  is the l.u.b. of  $\{x, y\}$ .

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $x, y \in B$ . If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ , then  $x = y$ .

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $x, y, z \in B$ . Then the following properties hold:

- (1) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $x \wedge z \leq y \wedge z$  and  $x \vee z \leq y \vee z$ ,
- (2)  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y' \leq x'$ .

THEOREM 2.6. Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $x, y \in B$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $x \leq y$ , (2)  $x \wedge y' = 0$ , (3)  $x' \vee y = 1$ , (4)  $x \wedge y = x$ , (5)  $x \vee y = y$ .

THEOREM 2.7. Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $x, y, z \in B$ . Then the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $x \vee y = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$  and  $y = 0$ ,
- (2)  $x \wedge y = 1$  if and only if  $x = 1$  and  $y = 1$ .

DEFINITION 2.8. Let  $f : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  be a function from a Boolean algebra  $B_1$  to a Boolean algebra  $B_2$ . Then  $f$  is called a *Boolean homomorphism* (or *homomorphism*) if

- (1)  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$  and  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$ ,
- (2)  $f(x') = (f(x))'$ .

DEFINITION 2.9. Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f : B \rightarrow B$  be a function. Then

- (1)  $f$  is said to be *regular* if  $f(0) = 0$ .
- (2)  $f$  is said to be *isotone* if  $x \leq y$  implies  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ .

THEOREM 2.10. Let  $f : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  be a function from a Boolean algebra  $B_1$  to a Boolean algebra  $B_2$ . If  $f$  is a Boolean homomorphism, then

- (1)  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 1$ ,
- (2)  $f$  is isotone.

DEFINITION 2.11. An *ideal* is a nonempty subset  $I$  of a Boolean algebra  $B$  if

- (1) If  $x \in I$  and  $b \in B$ , then  $x \wedge b \in I$ ,
- (2) If  $x, y \in I$ , then  $x \vee y \in I$ .

DEFINITION 2.12. A function  $f$  from a Boolean  $B$  into itself is a *dual closure* if  $f$  is monotone, non-expansive (i.e.,  $f(x) \leq x$  for all  $x \in B$ ) and idempotent (i.e.,  $f \circ f = f$ ).

### 3. Multipliers on Boolean algebras

In what follows, let  $B$  denote a Boolean algebra unless otherwise specified.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. A function  $f : B \rightarrow B$  is called a *multiplier* if it satisfies the following identity

$$f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge y$$

for all  $x, y \in B$ .

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let  $B = \{0, a, b, 1\}$  and  $\wedge, \vee$  are two binary operations defined as follows

$x$	$x'$
0	1
$a$	$b$
$b$	$a$
1	0

$\wedge$	0	$a$	$b$	1
0	0	0	0	0
$a$	0	$a$	0	$a$
$b$	0	0	$b$	$b$
1	0	$a$	$b$	1

$\vee$	0	$a$	$b$	1
0	0	$a$	$b$	1
$a$	$a$	$a$	$b$	1
$b$	$b$	1	$b$	1
1	1	1	1	1

Then  $(B, \wedge, \vee, ', 0, 1)$  is a Boolean algebra. Define a self-map  $f : B \rightarrow B$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, a \\ b & \text{if } x = b, 1 \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that  $f$  is a multiplier of a Boolean algebra  $B$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . Then

$$f(x) \leq x$$

for all  $x \in B$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a multiplier in  $B$ . For  $x \in B$ , we have

$$f(x) = f(x) \wedge f(x) = x \wedge f(f(x)),$$

which implies  $f(x) \leq x$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.4. *If  $f$  is a multiplier on  $B$ , then for every  $x, y \in B$ ,*

$$f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge y = x \wedge f(y).$$

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in B$ ,  $f(x \wedge y) \leq x \wedge y \leq x$  and  $f(x) \leq x$ , by Proposition 3.3, hence

$$f(x \wedge y) = x \wedge f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge (x \wedge y) = (f(x) \wedge x) \wedge y = f(x) \wedge y,$$

and  $f(x \wedge y) = x \wedge f(y)$  by commutativity of  $\wedge$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . Then  $f(0) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $x \in B$ , we have

$$f(0) = f(x \wedge 0) = f(x) \wedge 0 = 0,$$

which implies  $f(0) = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . Then  $f$  is an idempotent on  $B$ , i.e.,  $f^2(x) = f(x)$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $x \in B$ , we have

$$f^2(x) = f(f(x \wedge x)) = f(f(x) \wedge x) = f(x \wedge f(x)) = f(x) \wedge f(x) = f(x),$$

which implies that  $f$  is an idempotent on  $B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . Then  $f$  is a meet-homomorphism on  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . Then by Proposition 3.6, we have  $f^2(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ . Now, let  $a, b \in B$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(a \wedge b) &= f(f(a \wedge b)) = f(f(a) \wedge b) \\ &= f(b \wedge f(a)) = f(b) \wedge f(a) \\ &= f(a) \wedge f(b), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $f$  is a meet-homomorphism on  $B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . If  $f(1) = 1$ , then  $f$  is an identity multiplier in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f(1) = 1$ . Then we have from Proposition 3.4,

$$f(x) = f(x \wedge 1) = f(x) \wedge 1 = x \wedge f(1) = x \wedge 1 = x,$$

which implies that  $f$  is an identity multiplier in  $B$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.9.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . If  $f$  is a Boolean homomorphism on  $B$  and  $x \leq y$ , then*

- (1)  $f(x \wedge y') = 0$ ,
- (2)  $f(y') \leq x'$ ,
- (3)  $f(x) \wedge f(y') = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in B$  be such that  $x \leq y$  and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . Then  $f$  is an isotone by Theorem 2.6 and  $f(0) = 0$ .

(1) By Theorem 2.6, we have  $x \wedge y' = 0$ . Thus, we have  $f(x \wedge y') = f(0) = 0$ .

(2) By Theorem 2.6, we obtain  $y \leq x'$  since  $x \leq y$ , and so  $f(y') = (f(y))' \leq (f(x))' = f(x') \leq x'$ .

(3) By theorem 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \wedge f(y') &\leq f(y) \wedge f(y') \\ &= f(y \wedge f(y')) = f^2(y \wedge y') \\ &= f(y \wedge y') = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $f(x) \wedge f(y') = 0$  by (1).  $\square$

Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f_1, f_2$  two self-maps. We define  $f_1 \circ f_2 : B \rightarrow B$  by

$$(f_1 \circ f_2)(x) = f_1(f_2(x))$$

for all  $x \in B$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.10.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_n$  be multipliers on  $B$ . Then  $f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_n$  is also a multiplier of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f_1, f_2$  two multipliers on  $B$ . Then we have for all  $a, b \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 \circ f_2)(a \wedge b) &= f_1(f_2(a \wedge b)) = f_1(f_2(a) \vee b) \\ &= f_1(f_2(a)) \wedge b = (f_1 \circ f_2)(a) \vee b. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f_1, f_2$  two self-maps. We define  $f_1 \wedge f_2 : B \rightarrow B$  by

$$(f_1 \wedge f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \wedge f_2(x)$$

for all  $x \in B$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.11.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  be multipliers on  $B$ . Then  $f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge \dots \wedge f_n$  is also a multiplier of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f_1, f_2$  two multipliers of  $B$ . Then we have for all  $a, b \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 \wedge f_2)(a \wedge b) &= f_1(a \wedge b) \wedge f_2(a \wedge b) \\ &= (f_1(a) \wedge b) \wedge (f_2(a) \wedge b) \\ &= (f_1(a) \wedge f_2(a)) \wedge b \\ &= (f_1 \wedge f_2)(a) \wedge b. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f_1, f_2$  two self-maps. We define  $f_1 \vee f_2 : B \rightarrow B$  by

$$(f_1 \vee f_2)(x) = f_1(x) \vee f_2(x)$$

for all  $x \in B$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.12.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  be multipliers of  $B$ . Then  $f_1 \vee f_2 \vee \dots \vee f_n$  is also a multiplier of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f_1, f_2$  two multipliers of  $B$ . Then we have for all  $a, b \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 \vee f_2)(a \wedge b) &= f_1(a \wedge b) \vee f_2(a \wedge b) \\ &= (f_1(a) \wedge b) \vee (f_2(a) \wedge b) \\ &= (f_1(a) \vee f_2(a)) \wedge b \\ &= (f_1 \vee f_2)(a) \wedge b. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $M(B)$  be a set of all multipliers on  $B$  and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . Since  $f(x) \leq x$ , we have  $f(x) \leq I(x)$  for all  $f \in M(B)$  and  $x \in B$ , where  $I(x) = x$  for all  $x \in B$ . Also, we obtain  $0(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $f \in M(B)$  and  $x \in B$ , where  $0(x) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.13.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $M(B)$  be a set of all multipliers on  $B$ . Then  $(M(B), \wedge, \vee, 0(x), I(x))$  is a bounded distributive lattice.*

*Proof.* From Proposition 3.11 and 3.12,  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  are binary operators on  $M(B)$ . Define a binary relation “ $\leq$ ” on  $M(B)$  by  $f_1 \leq f_2$  if and only if  $f_1 \wedge f_2 = f_1$ . Then “ $\leq$ ” is a partial order relation on  $M(B)$  and  $g.l.b\{f_1, f_2\} = f_1 \wedge f_2, l.u.b\{f_1, f_2\} = f_1 \vee f_2$ . Therefore,  $(M(B), \wedge, \vee, 0(x), I(x))$  is a bounded lattice. In addition, for any  $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in M(B)$  and any  $x \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 \wedge (f_2 \vee f_3))(x) &= f_1(x) \wedge (f_2(x) \vee f_3(x)) \\ &= (f_1(x) \wedge f_2(x)) \vee (f_1(x) \wedge f_3(x)) \\ &= ((f_1 \wedge f_2)(x)) \vee ((f_1 \wedge f_3)(x)) \\ &= ((f_1 \wedge f_2) \vee (f_1 \wedge f_3))(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $f_1 \wedge (f_2 \vee f_3) = (f_1 \wedge f_2) \vee (f_1 \wedge f_3)$ .

This shows that  $(M(B), \wedge, \vee, 0(x), I(x))$  is a bounded distributive lattice.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.14.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f : B \rightarrow B$  be a multiplier of  $B$ . Then  $f$  is monotone.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a multiplier of  $B$  and let  $x \leq y$ . Then  $x \wedge y = x$ . Hence  $f(x) = f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge y$ , i.e.,  $f(x) \leq y$ . Since  $f$  is idempotent, we have  $f(x) = f(f(x)) = f(f(x) \wedge y) = f(y \wedge f(x)) = f(y) \wedge f(x) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$ . This implies  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.15.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f : B \rightarrow B$  be a multiplier of  $B$ . Then the following identities are equivalent,*

- (1)  $f$  is an isotone function,
- (2)  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in B$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $f$  be an isotone function of  $B$ . Then  $x \wedge y \leq x$  and  $x \wedge y \leq y$  for all  $x, y \in L$ . Thus, we get  $f(x \wedge y) \leq f(x)$  and  $f(x \wedge y) \leq f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in B$ , which implies  $f(x \wedge y) \leq f(x) \wedge f(y)$ . Also,  $f(x) \wedge f(y) \leq f(x) \wedge y = f(x \wedge y)$ . Hence we have  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $x, y \in B$  be such that  $x \leq y$ . Then  $f(x) = f(x \wedge y) = x \wedge f(y) \leq f(y)$ . Hence  $f$  is an isotone function. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.16.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f : B \rightarrow B$  be a multiplier of  $B$ . Then the following identities are equivalent,*

- (1)  $f$  is isotone,
- (2)  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$ ,
- (3)  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in B$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) By Theorem 3.15, the identities (1) and (2) are equivalent.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Assume that  $f$  is isotone. Then  $f(x) \leq f(x \vee y)$  and  $f(y) \leq f(x \vee y)$ . Also,  $f(x) = f((x \vee y) \wedge x) = x \wedge f(x \vee y)$ . Similarly, we get  $f(y) = y \wedge f(x \vee y)$ . Hence we have for  $x, y \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \vee f(y) &= (x \wedge f(x \vee y)) \vee (y \wedge f(x \vee y)) \\ &= (x \vee y) \wedge f(x \vee y) \\ &= f(x \vee y) \end{aligned}$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $x \leq y$  for all  $x, y \in B$ . Then  $y = x \vee y$ . Hence we get  $f(y) = f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y) \geq f(x)$ , which implies  $f$  is isotone.  $\square$

Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be two Boolean algebras. Then  $B_1 \times B_2$  is also a Boolean algebra with respect to the point-wise operation given by

$$(a, b) \wedge (c, d) = (a \wedge c, b \wedge d)$$

for all  $a, c \in B_1$  and  $b, d \in B_2$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.17.** *Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be two Boolean algebras. Define a map  $f : B_1 \times B_2 \rightarrow B_1 \times B_2$  by  $f(x, y) = (0, y)$  for all  $(x, y) \in B_1 \times B_2$ . Then  $f$  is a multiplier of  $B_1 \times B_2$  with respect to the point-wise operation.*

*Proof.* Let  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in B_1 \times B_2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f((x_1, y_1) \wedge (x_2, y_2)) &= f(x_1 \wedge x_2, y_1 \wedge y_2) \\ &= (0, y_1 \wedge y_2) \\ &= (0 \wedge x_2, y_1 \wedge y_2) \\ &= (0, y_1) \wedge (x_2, y_2) \\ &= f(x_1, y_1) \wedge (x_2, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $f$  is a multiplier of the direct product  $B_1 \times B_2$ .  $\square$

Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . Define a set  $Fix_f(B)$  by

$$Fix_f(B) = \{x \in B \mid f(x) = x\}.$$

In the following results, we assume that  $Fix_f(B)$  is a nonempty proper subset of  $B$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.18.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . If  $f : B \rightarrow B$  is a join homomorphism, then  $Fix_f(B)$  is a Boolean subalgebra of  $B$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$ . Then  $f(x) = x$  and  $f(y) = y$ . Then  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge y = x \wedge y$ , that is,  $x \wedge y \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$ . Moreover, we have  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y) = x \vee y$ , which implies  $x \vee y \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$ . Hence  $\text{Fix}_f(B)$  is a Boolean subalgebra of  $B$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.19.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be a multiplier on  $B$ . If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$ , we have  $x \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \leq y$ . Then we have

$$f(x) = f(y \wedge x) = f(y) \wedge x = y \wedge x = x,$$

which implies  $x \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.20.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f$  be a multiplier of  $B$ . If  $x \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$  and  $y \in B$ , we have  $x \wedge y \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$  for all  $x, y \in B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$  and  $y \in B$ . Then  $f(x) = x$ . Hence we have

$$f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge y = x \wedge y,$$

which implies  $x \wedge y \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.21.** *Let  $B$  be a lattice and let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be isotone multipliers of  $B$ . Then  $f_1 = f_2$  if and only if  $\text{Fix}_{f_1}(B) = \text{Fix}_{f_2}(B)$ .*

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $f_1 = f_2$  implies  $\text{Fix}_{f_1}(B) = \text{Fix}_{f_2}(B)$ . Conversely, let  $\text{Fix}_{f_1}(B) = \text{Fix}_{f_2}(B)$  and  $x \in B$ . By Proposition 3.19,  $f_1(x) \in \text{Fix}_{f_1}(B) = \text{Fix}_{f_2}(B)$  and  $f_2(f_1(x)) = f_1(x)$ . Similarly, we have  $f_1(f_2(x)) = f_2(x)$ . Since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are isotone, we have  $f_2(f_1(x)) \leq f_2(x) = f_1(f_2(x))$ , and so  $f_2(f_1(x)) \leq f_1(f_2(x))$ . Symmetrically, we can also get  $f_1(f_2(x)) \leq f_2(f_1(x))$ , which implies  $f_1(f_2(x)) = f_2(f_1(x))$ . Thus, it follows that  $f_1(x) = f_2(f_1(x)) = f_1(f_2(x)) = f_2(x)$ , that is,  $f_1 = f_2$ .  $\square$

Let us denote the image of  $B$  under the multiplier  $f$  by  $\text{Im}(f)$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.22.** *Let  $f$  be a multiplier of a lattice  $B$ . Then  $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Fix}_f(B)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \text{Fix}_f(B)$ . Then  $x = f(x) \in \text{Im}(f)$ . Hence  $\text{Fix}_f(B) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$ . Now let  $a \in \text{Im}(f)$ . Then we get  $a = f(b)$  for some  $b \in B$ . Thus  $f(a) = f(f(b)) = f(b) = a$ , which implies  $\text{Im}(f) \subseteq \text{Fix}_f(B)$ . Therefore,  $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Fix}_f(B)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.23.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two multipliers of  $B$  such that  $f \circ g = g \circ f$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $f = g$ .
- (2)  $f(B) = g(B)$ .
- (3)  $Fix_f(B) = Fix_g(B)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): It is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Assume that  $f(B) = g(B)$  and let  $x \in Fix_f(B)$ . Then  $x = f(x) \in f(B) = g(B)$ . Hence  $x = g(y)$  for some  $y \in B$ . Now  $g(x) = g(g(y)) = g^2(y) = g(y) = x$ . Thus  $x \in Fix_g(B)$ . Therefore,  $Fix_f(B) \subseteq Fix_g(B)$ . Similarly, we can obtain  $Fix_g(B) \subseteq Fix_f(B)$ . Thus  $Fix_f(B) = Fix_g(B)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Assume that  $Fix_f(B) = Fix_g(B)$ . Let  $x \in B$ . Since  $f(x) \in Fix_f(B) = Fix_g(B)$ , we have  $g(f(x)) = f(x)$ . Also, we obtain  $g(x) \in Fix_g(B) = Fix_f(B)$ . Hence we get  $f(g(x)) = g(x)$ . Thus we have

$$f(x) = g(f(x)) = (g \circ f)(x) = (f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x)) = g(x).$$

Therefore,  $f$  and  $g$  are equal in the sense of mappings.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.24.** *Let  $f$  be a multiplier of a lattice  $B$ . Then  $Fix_f(B)$  is an ideal of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.22, we can see that  $x \in Fix_f(B)$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $y \in Fix_f(B)$ . This means that  $Fix_f(B)$  satisfies the condition (1) of Definition 2.11. We need only to show that  $x, y \in Fix_f(B)$  implies  $x \vee y \in Fix_f(B)$ . Let  $x, y \in Fix_f(B)$ . Then we have  $x \vee y = f(x) \vee y = f(x \vee y)$ , i.e.,  $x \vee y \in Fix_f(B)$ , which implies that  $Fix_f(B)$  satisfies the Definition 2.11. It follows that  $Fix_f(B)$  is an ideal of  $B$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.25.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. Then the following are equivalent,*

- (1)  $B$  is a chain,
- (2) For every isotone multiplier  $f$ ,  $Fix_f(B)$  is a prime ideal of  $B$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $B$  be a chain and let  $f$  be an isotone multiplier on  $B$ . Then  $Fix_f(B)$  is an ideal of  $B$  by Theorem 3.24. Now, let  $x \wedge y \in Fix_f(B)$ . Since  $B$  is chain, we have  $x \leq y$  or  $x \leq x$ . Assume that  $x \leq y$ . Then  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ , and so  $f(x) = f(x) \wedge f(y) = f(x \wedge y) = x \wedge y = x$  by Theorem 3.15. It follows that  $x \in Fix_f(B)$ , which means that  $Fix_f(B)$  is a prime ideal of  $B$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $Fix_f(B)$  be a prime ideal of  $B$  for every isotone multiplier of  $B$ . For every  $x, y \in B$ , consider the simple multiplier  $f_{x \wedge y}$ , which is induced by  $x \wedge y$ . Then  $Fix_{f_{x \wedge y}}(B)$  is a prime ideal by

hypothesis. Note that  $x \wedge y \in Fix_{f_{x \wedge y}}(B)$ . Hence  $x \in Fix_{f_{x \wedge y}}(B)$  or  $y \in Fix_{f_{x \wedge y}}(B)$ . Assume that  $x \in Fix_{f_{x \wedge y}}(B)$ . Then  $x = f_{x \wedge y}(x) = x \wedge (x \wedge y) = x \wedge y$ . So  $x \leq y$ . This means that  $B$  is a chain.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.26.** *For  $p \in B$ , the mapping  $\alpha_p(a) = a \wedge p$  is a multiplier of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in B$ . Then we have

$$\alpha_p(a \wedge b) = (a \wedge b) \wedge p = (a \wedge p) \wedge b = \alpha_p(a) \wedge b.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.27.** *For  $p \in B$ , the mapping  $\beta_p(a) = (a \wedge p) \wedge p$  is a multiplier of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in B$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_p(a \wedge b) &= ((a \wedge b) \wedge p) \wedge p \\ &= ((a \wedge p) \wedge b) \wedge p \\ &= ((a \wedge p) \wedge p) \wedge b \\ &= \beta_p(a) \wedge b. \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.28.** *For  $p \in B$ , the multiplier  $\alpha_p(a) = a \wedge p$  is a meet-homomorphism on  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in B$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p(a \wedge b) &= (a \wedge b) \wedge p \\ &= (a \wedge p) \wedge (b \wedge p) \\ &= \alpha_p(a) \wedge \alpha_p(b). \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.29.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. Then  $\alpha_p$  is an isotone multiplier on  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in B$  be such that  $a \leq b$ . Then  $a = a \wedge b$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p(a) &= \alpha_p(a \wedge b) \\ &= \alpha_p(a) \wedge b = (a \wedge p) \wedge b \\ &= (a \wedge p) \wedge (b \wedge p) \\ &= \alpha_p(a) \wedge \alpha_p(b), \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\alpha_p(a) \leq \alpha_p(b)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We call the multiplier  $\alpha_p(a) = a \wedge p$  of Proposition 3.29 as *simple multiplier*. Let us denote  $SM(B)$  by the set of all simple multiplier on  $B$ . Now we define

$$(\alpha_p \wedge \alpha_q)(x) = \alpha_p(x) \wedge \alpha_q(x), \quad (\alpha_p \vee \alpha_q)(x) = \alpha_p(x) \vee \alpha_q(x)$$

PROPOSITION 3.30. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. If  $p \neq q$ , then  $\alpha_p \neq \alpha_q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_p = \alpha_q$ . Then  $\alpha_p(x) = \alpha_q(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ . This implies  $x \wedge p = x \wedge q$  for all  $x \in B$ . Now, if  $x = p$ , then we get  $p = p \wedge q$ . Hence  $p \leq q$ . Next, if  $x = q$ , then  $q \wedge p = q$ , which means  $q \leq p$ , and so we get  $p = q$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore if  $p \neq q$ , then we have  $\alpha_p \neq \alpha_q$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3.31. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $\alpha_p, \alpha_q \in SM(B)$ . Then if  $p \leq q$ , we have  $\alpha_p \leq \alpha_q$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p \leq q$ . Then  $x \wedge q \leq y \wedge q$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_p \leq \alpha_q$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 3.32. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $\alpha_p, \alpha_q \in SM(B)$ . Then we have  $\alpha_p \wedge \alpha_q \in SM(B)$  and  $\alpha_p \vee \alpha_q \in SM(B)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_p, \alpha_q \in SM(B)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_p \wedge \alpha_q)(x) &= \alpha_p(x) \wedge \alpha_q(x) \\ &= (p \wedge x) \wedge (q \wedge x) \\ &= (p \wedge q) \wedge x \\ &= \alpha_{(p \wedge q)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p \wedge q \in B$ ,  $\alpha_{(p \wedge q)} \in SM(B)$ , which implies  $\alpha_p \wedge \alpha_q \in SM(B)$ . Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_p \vee \alpha_q)(x) &= \alpha_p(x) \vee \alpha_q(x) \\ &= (p \wedge x) \vee (q \wedge x) \\ &= (p \vee q) \wedge x \\ &= \alpha_{(p \vee q)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p \vee q \in B$ ,  $\alpha_{(p \vee q)} \in SM(B)$ , which implies  $\alpha_p \vee \alpha_q \in SM(B)$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 3.33. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $\alpha_p, \alpha_q \in SM(B)$ . Then we have, for every  $x, y \in B$ ,*

$$(1) \alpha_p(x \wedge y) = \alpha_p(x) \wedge \alpha_p(y),$$

$$(2) \alpha_p(x \vee y) = \alpha_p(x) \vee \alpha_p(y),$$

(3)  $\alpha_p(x \sqcup y) = \alpha_p(x) \sqcup \alpha_p(y)$ , where  $x \sqcup y = y \vee (y \vee x)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $\alpha_p \in SM(B)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_p(x \wedge y) &= \alpha_p(x) \wedge \alpha_p(y) \\ &= (p \wedge x) \wedge (p \wedge y) \\ &= \alpha_p(x) \wedge \alpha_p(y).\end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $\alpha_p \in SM(B)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_p(x \vee y) &= \alpha_p(x) \vee \alpha_p(y) \\ &= (p \wedge x) \vee (p \wedge y) \\ &= \alpha_p(x) \vee \alpha_p(y).\end{aligned}$$

(3) Let  $\alpha_p \in SM(B)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_p(x \sqcup y) &= \alpha_p(y \vee (y \vee x)) \\ &= \alpha_p(y) \vee \alpha_p(y \vee x) \\ &= \alpha_p(y) \vee (\alpha_p(y) \vee \alpha_p(x)) \\ &= \alpha_p(x) \sqcup \alpha_p(y).\end{aligned}$$

□

**THEOREM 3.34.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $\alpha_p, \alpha_{p'} \in SM(B)$ . Then we have*

(1)  $(\alpha_p \vee \alpha_{p'}) = \alpha_0$ ,

(2)  $(\alpha_p \wedge \alpha_{p'}) = \alpha_1$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. For every  $p \in B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_p \vee \alpha_{p'})(x) &= (x \wedge p) \vee (x \wedge p') \\ &= x \wedge (p \vee p') \\ &= x \wedge 1 = \alpha_1(x).\end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_p \wedge \alpha_{p'})(x) &= (x \wedge p) \wedge (x \wedge p') \\ &= x \wedge (p \wedge p') \\ &= x \wedge 0 = \alpha_0(x).\end{aligned}$$

□

**THEOREM 3.35.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. Then  $SM(B)$  is a Boolean algebra with top element  $\alpha_1$  and bottom element  $\alpha_0$ .*

**PROPOSITION 3.36.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. Then the simple multiplier  $\alpha_1$  is an identity function of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $a \in B$ ,  $\alpha_1(a) = a \wedge 1 = a$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.37.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. Then, for each  $x \in B$ , we have  $\alpha_p(x \wedge p) = \alpha_p(x)$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $x \in B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_p(x \wedge p) &= \alpha_p(x) \wedge p = (x \wedge p) \wedge p \\ &= x \wedge p = \alpha_p(x)\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.38.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $B \neq \{0\}$ . Then there is no nilpotent multiplier on  $B$ .*

*Proof.* For every multiplier  $f$ , we have

$$f^n(x) \geq f^{n-1} \geq \cdots \geq f(x) \geq x,$$

for every  $x \in B$ . If there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $f^n = 0$ , then we get  $f^n(x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in B$ . Thus  $x = 0$ , for all  $x \in B$ , which is a contradiction. Hence there is no nilpotent multiplier on  $B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.39.** *If  $B$  has  $n$  element, then it has at least  $n$  multipliers on  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha_p$  is a multiplier, for every  $p \in B$ , and so  $B$  has at least  $n$  multipliers.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.40.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. If  $\theta : B \rightarrow M(B)$  is a map defined by  $\theta(x) = \alpha_x$  for each  $x \in B$ , then  $\theta$  is one-to-one and isotone map.*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta(x) = \theta(y)$ . Then  $\alpha_x = \alpha_y$ , and it implies that  $x \wedge y = \alpha_y(x) = \alpha_x(x) = x \wedge x = x$  and  $y \wedge x = \alpha_x(y) = \alpha_y(y) = y \wedge y = y$ . Hence  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$ . Let  $a \leq b$  in  $B$ . Then  $a \wedge x \leq b \wedge x$ , that is,  $\theta(a) = \alpha_a \leq \alpha_b = \theta(b)$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.41.** *Let  $f : B \rightarrow B$  is an isotone multiplier of  $B$ , then  $f$  is a dual closure on  $B$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.6,  $f$  is non-expensive and idempotent, and so  $f$  is a dural closure on  $B$ .  $\square$

Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $I$  be a principal ideal of  $B$  generalized by  $a \in B$  that is,  $I = \langle a \rangle$ .

**THEOREM 3.42.** *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. If  $f$  is a simple multiplier of  $B$ , then  $Fix_f(B)$  is a principal ideal of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  is a principal multiplier of  $B$ , that is,  $f(x) = x \wedge a$ , for some  $a \in B$ . We claim that  $Fix_f(B) = \langle a \rangle$ . In fact, for any  $x \in Fix_f(B)$ , we have  $x = f(x) = x \wedge a$ , and hence  $x \leq a$ . This means that  $x \in \langle a \rangle$ . Conversely, let  $x \in \langle a \rangle$ , that is,  $x \leq a$ . Then  $f(x) = x \wedge a = x$ , and hence  $x \in Fix_f(B)$ . By the above arguments, we have  $Fix_f(B) = \langle a \rangle$ , and so  $Fix_f(B)$  is a principal ideal of  $B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.43.** Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra. A non-empty set  $I$  of  $B$  is called a *normal ideal* if  $x \in B$  and  $y \in I$  imply  $x \wedge y \in I$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.44.** In Example 3.2, let  $I = \{0, a\}$ . Then it is easy to see that  $I$  is a normal ideal on  $B$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.45.** *Let  $f$  be a multiplier of a Boolean algebra  $B$ . For any normal ideal  $I$  of  $B$ , both  $f(I)$  and  $f^{-1}(I)$  are normal ideals of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in B$  and  $a \in f(I)$ . Then  $a = f(s)$  for some  $s \in I$ . Now  $x \wedge a = x \wedge f(s) = f(x \wedge s) \in f(I)$  because  $x \wedge s \in I$ . Therefore  $f(I)$  is a normal ideal of  $L$ . Let  $x \in B$  and  $a \in f^{-1}(I)$ . Then  $f(a) \in I$ . Since  $I$  is a normal ideal, we get  $f(x \wedge a) = x \wedge f(a) \in I$ . Hence  $x \wedge a \in f^{-1}(I)$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(I)$  is a normal ideal of  $B$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 3.46.** *Let  $f$  be a multiplier of a Boolean algebra  $B$ . Then we have*

- (1)  $Fix_f(B)$  is a normal ideal of  $B$ .
- (2)  $Im(f)$  is a normal ideal of  $B$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x \in B$  and  $a \in Fix_f(B)$ . Then  $f(a) = a$ . Now  $f(x \wedge a) = x \wedge f(a) = x \wedge a$ . Hence  $x \wedge a \in Fix_f(B)$ . Therefore,  $Fix_f(B)$  is a normal ideal of  $B$ .

(2) Let  $x \in B$  and  $a \in Im(f)$ . Then  $a = f(b)$  for some  $b \in B$ . Now  $x \wedge a = x \wedge f(b) = f(x \wedge b) \in f(B)$ . Therefore,  $Im(f)$  is a normal ideal of  $B$ .  $\square$

Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and let  $f : B \rightarrow B$  is a function. Define a set  $Kerf$  by

$$Kerf = \{x \in L \mid f(x) = 0\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.47. *Let  $f$  be a multiplier of a Boolean algebra  $B$ . If  $f$  is a join-homomorphism,  $Kerf$  is a Boolean subalgebra on  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in Kerf$ . Then  $f(x) = f(y) = 0$ , and so  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y) = 0 \wedge 0 = 0$ , which implies  $x \wedge y \in Kerf$ . Now, we have  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y) = 0 \vee 0 = 0$ . This implies  $x \vee y \in Kerf$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.48. *Let  $f$  be a multiplier of a Boolean algebra  $B$ . Then  $Kerf$  is a normal ideal of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $0 \in Kerf$ . Let  $a \in Kerf$  and  $x \in L$ . Then  $f(x \wedge a) = x \wedge f(a) = x \wedge 0 = 0$ . Hence  $x \wedge a \in Kerf$ , which implies that  $Kerf$  is a normal ideal of  $B$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.49. *Let  $f$  be a multiplier of a Boolean algebra  $B$  and  $x \leq y$ . If  $y \in Kerf$ , then we have  $x \in Kerf$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in Kerf$  and  $x \leq y$ . Then  $f(x) = f(x \wedge y) = x \wedge f(y) = x \wedge 0 = 0$ . Hence  $x \in Kerf$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.50. *Let  $f$  be a multiplier of a Boolean algebra  $B$ . Then we have  $Kerf \cap Fix_f(B) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in Kerf \cap Fix_f(B)$ . Then  $f(x) = 0$  and  $f(x) = x$ , which implies  $x = 0$ . Hence  $Kerf \cap Fix_f(B) = \{0\}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.51. *Let  $f$  be a multiplier of a Boolean algebra  $B$ . Then  $Fix_f(L) = \{0\}$  implies  $Kerf = B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a multiplier of a Boolean algebra  $B$ . Then we have  $f(x) \in Fix_f(B)$  for all  $x \in B$  from Proposition 3.22. Thus,  $Fix_f(B) = \{0\}$  implies that  $f(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

DEFINITION 3.52. Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f : B \rightarrow B$  be a function. A nonempty subset  $I$  of  $B$  is said to be a  $f$ -invariant if  $f(I) \subseteq I$  where  $f(I) = \{y \in B \mid y = f(x) \text{ for some } x \in I\}$ .

THEOREM 3.53. *Let  $B$  be a Boolean algebra and  $f$  a multiplier on  $B$ . Then every ideal  $I$  is a  $f$ -invariant.*



*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $B$  and let  $y \in f(I)$ . Then there exists  $x \in I$  such that  $y = f(x) \leq x$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal, we get  $y \in I$ . Thus  $f(I) \subseteq I$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.54.** *Let  $f : B \rightarrow B$  be a dual closure. Then  $f$  is a multiplier on  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : B \rightarrow B$  be a dual closure and let  $f$  be a homomorphism. Then we have, for every  $x, y \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x \wedge y) &= f(x) \wedge f(y) \\ &\leq f(x) \wedge y, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \wedge y &\leq f(f(x) \wedge y) \\ &= f^2(x) \wedge f(y) \\ &= f(x) \wedge f(y). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

This implies  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge y$ , that is,  $f$  is a multiplier on  $B$ .

### References

- [1] R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, *Distributive Lattices*, University of Missouri Press, Columbia, United States, 1974.
- [2] G. Birkhoof, *Lattice Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium, 1940.
- [3] R. Larsen, *An Introduction to the Theory of Multipliers*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [4] E. Mendelson, *Schaum's outline of theory and problems of Boolean algebra and Switching circuits*, McGraw-Hill Inc, U.S.A.
- [5] Sureeporn Harmaitree and Utsanee Leerawat, *On  $f$ -derivations in lattices*, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **51** (1) (2011), 27-40.

\*

Department of Mathematics,  
Korea National University of Transportation  
Chungju 380-702, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail:* ghkim@ut.ac.kr